ANOMALOUS PRODUCTS FROM COPE REARRANGEMENTS IN IMINE SYSTEMS

R.K. Bramley, J. Caldwell and R. Grigg.^{*} Department of Chemistry, Nottingham University, Nottingham NG7 2RD.

(Received in UK 27 June 1973; accepted for publication 13 July 1973)

Thermal rearrangement of 3-allylindolenines and 4-allylisopyrazoles results in imine-enamine prototropy followed by a Cope rearrangement (e.g. $1 \rightarrow 2$; $R=R^{1}=H$). However, thermal rearrangement of the indolenine (1;R=H,R¹=Me), produced in addition to the expected Cope product (2;R¹=Me), another indole with a terminal allyl group and only one indolic methyl group (n.m.r.). Rearrangement of the trideuteriomethylindolenine (1;R=D, R^{\perp} = Me) showed that the "anomalous" product had the C(3) methyl group functionalised, and enabled structure (3) to be assigned to this minor (10%) product. Similarly the isopyrazoles (4;R=H or Me) gave, in addition to the expected Cope products (5;R=H or Me; 30% and 35% respectively), the "anomalous" products (6;R=H or Me; 40% and 44% respectively). The Cope products (5;R=H or Me) were solids whilst (6;R=H or Me) were oils and their n.m.r. spectra enabled them to be readily distinguished e.g. $(5; R=H) \tau (CDCl_2)$ 7.5 (S,2H, nuclear-CH₂-), 7.85 and 8.13 (both S, 6H, 2 x nuclear Me); (6;R=H) τ (CDCl₃) 7.85 (S,6H, 2 x nuclear Me) and 8.13 (S,2H, nuclear-CH₂-) The n.m.r. spectrum (CCl₄) of (6;R=Me) showed evidence of restricted rotation (50;50 conformer population), in that the methyl substituent on the chiral carbon atom appeared as a pair of doublets at $\tau 8.94$ and 9.06 (restricted rotation is evident from a study of Dreiding models).

The "anomalous" products (3) and (6) are thought to arise by a Plancher-Brunner type [1,2]-shift² catalysed by traces of Lewis acids, followed by deprotonation and Cope rearrangement (7-9; partial formulae). The dimethylallyl group is known to have a much greater migratory aptitude than the allyl group in Plancher-Brunner rearrangements³ and thus competes 3207 with Cope rearrangement $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ in the dimethylallyl case but not in the allyl case.







Réferences

- R.K. Bramley and R. Grigg, <u>Chem. Comm.</u>, 1969, 99; R.K. Bramley,
 J. Caldwell and R. Grigg, <u>J. Chem. Soc</u>., (Perk I), in press.
- Heterocyclic Compounds, 1952, Vol. 3., 80, Ed. by R.C. Elderfield,
 Pub. Wiley; Y. Kanaoka, K. Miyashita and O. Yonemitsu, <u>Chem. Comm.</u>,
 1969, 1365; G. Casnati and A. Pochini, <u>Chem. Comm.</u>, 1970, 1328.
- 3. G. Casnati, A. Dossena and A. Pochini, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u>, 1972, 5277.